

1 BARRY J. PORTMAN
Federal Public Defender
2 REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT
Assistant Federal Public Defender
3 555 - 12th Street
Suite 650
4 Oakland, CA 94607-3627
Telephone: (510) 637-3500
5
6 Counsel for Defendant NEVES
7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR-07-0791 CW
)	
12 Plaintiff,)	ROCKY NEVES' OPPOSITION TO
)	GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO
13 vs.)	REVOKE TEMPORARY RELEASE
)	
14 ROCKY NEVES,)	
)	
15 Defendant.)	
_____)	

16

17 Twice in the past, Mr. Neves has been temporarily released from federal custody and has
18 voluntarily returned to custody without incident. The first time, in his prior federal case, the
19 government agreed that Mr. Neves could be released to attend the funeral of his first wife. Mr.
20 Neves did exactly as the court ordered, and self surrendered after the funeral. More recently,
21 Santa Rita Jail mistakenly released Mr. Neves. Mr. Neves voluntarily self-surrendered upon
22 learning that his release was a mistake.¹
23

24 ¹ When he self-surrendered after the mistaken release, Mr. Neves asked the court if he
25 could remain out for one more week. The court agreed, on the condition that Mr. Neves take a
26 drug test. Because Mr. Neves' test would have been positive for vicodin (a painkiller that he had
been prescribed while in custody, but had not obtained pursuant to a prescription while he was
out), Mr. Neves withdrew his request to remain out of custody.

1 Now, Mr. Neves asks only that he be released for a short period of time so that he can get
2 a marriage license – something that his fiancée (Tina Lengyel) has been trying to make happen
3 for the past five weeks, but, because of Mr. Neves’ lack of identification, has been unable to
4 accomplish. Ms. Lengyel has spent many, many hours conferring with people in the Alameda
5 County Recorder’s Office about getting a marriage license for a person who has no identification.
6 It was only after repeatedly being told that they could not get the license that Mr. Neves and Ms.
7 Lengyel approached the Court about a temporary release. And, knowing that the Court and the
8 government would have concerns about Mr. Neves’ criminal history, Mr. Neves offered three
9 sureties, all three of whom agreed to stay with him during the entire period of release. Moreover,
10 although the government calls the release a 24-hour release, it was actually much shorter than
11 that. Mr. Neves would have been released from Santa Rita Jail on Thursday night, April 3
12 (releases generally occur around 11 p.m.), and was to return to the Marshal’s Office by 1 p.m. the
13 next day. Even this could have been shortened, as the Marshals could have released Mr. Neves
14 directly from the federal courthouse, and he could have surrendered back to the Marshals that
15 same afternoon, if the request had not been contested.²

16 Mr. Neves recognizes that there are issues in his history that might justify detention, were
17 he to request release for the entirety of the pretrial period without conditions. Mr. Neves,
18 however, is not requesting complete pretrial release, and no such question is before this Court.
19 Rather, Mr. Neves makes only an extremely restricted request – for a one day release – and he
20 offers three sureties and custodians to appease any concerns of the Court or the government. In
21 these limited circumstances, Mr. Neves’ appearance will be reasonably assured, and he will not
22

23 ² The Marshals can “roll out” a defendant if they know for sure that the defendant will be
24 ordered released. This means that they check him out of the jail when they pick him up to bring
25 him to court. The defendant can then be released by the magistrate at the 10:00 calendar, and
26 can self-surrender back to the Marshal’s Office in the afternoon. The Marshals will not roll out a
defendant if the release is contested. If, as here, a request is contested and release is ordered, the
defendant must be returned to Santa Rita Jail and released whenever Santa Rita Jail has time to
do so. This generally occurs around 11:00 p.m.

1 be a danger to the community.

2 Lastly, this matter is not moot. Mr. Neves continues to hope that he can get the license,
3 and he continues to believe that a limited release with conditions meets the standards of the Bail
4 Reform Act. He could make the arrangements to get a license on a different day and request
5 release again from the magistrate court – but any such arrangements would be a waste of court
6 resources, as the magistrate court would issue the same order, and the government would again
7 appeal. Mr. Neves therefore respectfully requests that the Court rule on the substance of his
8 request for temporary release.

9
10 Dated: April 7, 2008

11 Respectfully submitted,

12 BARRY J. PORTMAN
13 Federal Public Defender

14 /S/

15 REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT
16 Assistant Federal Public Defender
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26